







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/742,679	12/20/2000	Robert A. Luciano	732.462 SDG.UA-Maintainin	9914
75	90 12/15/2003		EXAMINER	
Jonathan T Velasco			CHERUBIN, YVESTE GILBERTE	
c/o Sierra Design Group 300 Sierra Manor Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Reno, NV 89511			3713	19
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	7							
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summers	09/742,679	LUCIANO ET AL					
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Yveste G. Cherubir		<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sireply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, howevent. a reply within the statutory minimeriod will apply and will expire SIX tatute, cause the application to b	or, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered time ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 September 2003.						
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 92-103 is/are pending in the appli	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>98-101</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>92 and 102</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>93-97 and 103</u> is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement	ent.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	·	*	• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form P	'TO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 2) The translation of the foreign language acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesterence was included in the first sentence of the foreign was included in the first sentence of the first sent	nents have been receive nents have been receive priority documents have reau (PCT Rule 17.2(and list of the certified copinestic priority under 35 are first sentence of the separation of the s	ed. ed in Application No e been received in this Nationa)). ies not received. U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional pecification or in an Application has been received. U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) 🔲 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No otice of Informal Patent Application (PT ther:					

Application/Control Number: 09/742,679

Art Unit: 3713

This action is in response to the communication in US Application No. 09/742,679
 filed on September 15, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 92, 102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burns (US Patent No. 6, 048,269 of record) in view of Weiss (US Patent No. 6,165,071).

As per claims 92, 102, Burns discloses a gaming apparatus configured to allow players to play game in exchange for a wager in a casino environment, the gaming apparatus comprising ticket printer and ticket reader. However, Burns fails to disclose his device having the capability to pause, store, and restore game state. Weiss teaches a gaming system where players are allowed to initiate and discontinue play at their will. Players are provided with a memory card which stores the player's game state and which can be read by a card reader to restore game where left off. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Burns' device to include the restoring teaching as taught by Weiss in order to provide players with the incentive to continue play over a protracted period of time. This modification would help increase the casino revenue.

Art Unit: 3713

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 98-101 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Claims 93-97, 103 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double patenting rejection, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 92-103 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See rejection above.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yveste G. Cherubin whose telephone number is (703) 306-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, T. Walberg can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

December 1, 2003

vac

Teresa Walberg
Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700